# **Review article**

Volume 9, Number 1: 6-11 ISSN: 2313-3937 2021

# Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: Serious Clinical Pathogen

Sanjay Chhibber<sup>1</sup>, Ayaid Khadem Zgair<sup>2\*</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

In recent years, the bacterial pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* has emerged as a significant clinical concern, challenging healthcare providers and researchers alike. Once considered an opportunistic pathogen of limited clinical importance, this gram-negative bacterium is increasingly recognized as a formidable adversary, particularly in individuals with compromised immune systems, chronic respiratory conditions, or those undergoing invasive medical procedures. A fundamental aspect of its clinical success lies in its ability to adhere to biotic and abiotic surfaces within the host environment, facilitating colonization and subsequent infection. In this review, we delve into the intricate world of *S. maltophilia*, exploring its taxonomy, morphology, genetic characteristics, ecological habitat, clinical manifestations, respiratory tract infection, bacterial pneumonia, *S. maltophilia* and Cystic fibrosis, malignant tumor, and *S. maltophilia* and Hospital-Acquired Infections (HAI).

Keywords: Immunocompromised patients, Opportunistic pathogen, Respiratory tract, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.

**Citation: Chhibber S, Zgair AK.** (2021) *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: Serious Clinical Pathogen. *World J Exp Biosci* **9**:6-11.

Received January 12, 2021; Accepted March 15, 2021; Published March 23, 2021.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is an opportunistic pathogen, the pathogenicity of this bacteria has gained increasing attention in recent years due to its pathogenic potential, particularly in individuals with compromised immune systems. This bacterium causes a range of infections in humans [1]. It is a Gramnegative, rod-shaped bacterium. It is naturally found in various environmental sources, such as water, soil, and plants. In these settings, it plays a role in biodegradation and can be beneficial. However, when it enters the human body, especially in individuals with weakened immune defenses, it can become a formidable pathogen. It is considered an opportunistic pathogen, meaning it primarily infects patients who are already immunocompromised or have underlying medical conditions [2]. This includes patients with cystic fibrosis, cancer, chronic respiratory diseases, or those who have undergone organ transplantation. It can also infect patients in healthcare settings, particularly those on ventilators or with indwelling catheters [3].

It is capable of causing a variety of infections, including pneumonia, bloodstream infections, urinary tract infections, and wound infections. Symptoms can range from mild to severe, with fever, cough, and difficulty breathing being common in respiretory infections [4]. In bloodstream infections, it can lead to sepsis, a life-threatening condition. One of the significant challenges in dealing with S. maltophilia infections is its intrinsic resistance to many antibiotics. This bacterium often exhibits resistance to multiple classes of antibiotics, making treatment difficult and limited in options. This resistance is due in part to its ability to form biofilms, protective communities of bacteria that shield it from antibiotics and the immune system. S. maltophilia infections require a multidisciplinary approach. Combination antibiotic therapy, sometimes involving newer agents may be effective. However, treatment decisions should be based on antimicrobial susceptibility testing [5]. The pathogenicity of S. maltophilia is ongoing, with a focus on understanding its virulence

\* Correspondence: **Professor Ayaid K. Zgair**. E. mail: <u>ayaid.zgair@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq</u>; <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2356-3338</u> Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq. Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

Copyright: © Chhibber S, Zgair AK, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any site, provided the original author and source are credited.

factors, antibiotic resistance mechanisms, and potential therapeutic strategies. As the prevalence of multidrug-resistant pathogens continues to rise, *S. maltophilia* remains a concerning bacterium in the realm of healthcare-associated infections. It poses a significant challenge to healthcare providers due to its capacity to cause a range of infections, especially in immune-compromised individuals [6].

# 2. TAXONOMY

*S. maltophilia* (*Stenos*, Greek: narrow; *trophos*, Greek: one who feeds; *monas*, Greek: a unit, monad; i.e., a unit feeding on few substrates; and *malt*, old English: malt; *philos*, Greek: friend; i.e., a friend of malt). The type strain was isolated in 1958 by Hugh from an oropharyngeal swab of a patient with oral carcinoma and named *Pseudomonas maltophilia*. Subsequently further proposed that *P. maltophilia* be reclassified in the genus *Xanthomonas* as *X. maltophilia*. Continuing dissatisfaction with the classification of this organism finally gave rise to a proposal in 1993 to create a new genus *Stenotrophomonas* with *S. maltophilia* as its sole member [7,8].

# 3. MORPHOLOGY

S. maltophilia are slightly smaller (0.7-1.8 x 0.4-0.7  $\mu$ m), straight or slightly curved, non-sporulating gram-negative bacilli. They are motile due to polar flagella and grow well on agar-producing pigmented colonies. S. maltophilia are catalase positive, and oxidase negative (which distinguishes them from most other members of the closely related genera) and show positive reactions for extracellular DNase [9].

## 4. GENETIC CHARACTERISTICS

The genome of *S. maltophilia* K279a is 4,851,126 bp and possesses high G+C content. The sequence reveals an organism with a remarkable capacity for drug and heavy metal resistance, in addition to a number of genes conferring resistance to antimicrobial drugs of different classes via alternative mechanisms. Functional genome analysis confirms a role in drug resistance for several antibiotics such as gentamycin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, ceftazidime, cefepime; ticarcillin/clavulanic acid, piperacillin/tazobactam, aztreonam; and meropenem [10]. *S. maltophilia* possesses potentially mobile regions of DNA and encodes a number of pili and fimbriae, likely to be involved in adhesion and biofilm formation that indirectly may also contribute to increased antimicrobial drug resistance [11].

# 5. HABITAT

*S. maltophilia* is found in a wide variety of environments and geographical regions, including Antarctica [12], and occupies ecological niches both inside and outside hospitals. It has been isolated from a number of water sources including rivers, wells, hypereutrophic lakes, bottled water, and sewage [13]. The bacterium has also been recovered from a variety of soils and plant rhizosphere environments, including grasses, sugarcane, and palms [2, 14].

## 6. EPIDEMIOLOGY

*S. maltophilia* was considered an unusual organism to be isolated in the diagnostic microbiology laboratory, despite earlier claims that it was the second most common non-fermentative Gram-negative bacillus (after *P. aeruginosa*) isolated from clinical specimens [7]. Isolation rates have been increasing since the early 1970s. Detailed comparisons between studies

which have analyzed predisposing factors are not possible for a number of reasons. These include variations in patient mix, criteria used to define infection, and statistical methods used to analyze data. It is noteworthy, however, that in many of these studies, prior exposure to antimicrobial agents has been consistently associated with *S. maltophilia* infection and that nearly all of them are indicative of patient debilitation [15]. Several nosocomial outbreaks of *S. maltophilia* infection and/or colonization have now been described. In several instances, putative environmental reservoirs for the bacterium have been identified [16]. Contaminated deionized water used to make disinfectant was found to be responsible for the *S. maltophilia* outbreak which involved 63 patients in an Australian hospital; four cases of septicemia with *S. maltophilia* were attributed to inadequate disinfection of reusable capillary dialyzers [17].

# 7. CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS of *S. maltophilia* INFECTIONS

S. maltophilia is associated with an ever-expanding spectrum of clinical syndromes [7]. However, many early publications failed to cite unequivocal evidence for infection with this organism. S. maltophilia has emerged as an important opportunistic pathogen in debilitated hosts. S maltophilia is not an inherently virulent pathogen, but its ability to colonize respiratory tract epithelial cells [2,4] and surfaces of medical devices makes it a ready colonizer for hospitalized patients. S. maltophilia can cause bloodstream infections and pneumonia with considerable morbidity in immunosuppressed patients [18]. Management of infection is hampered by high-level intrinsic resistance to many antibiotic classes and the increasing occurrence of acquired resistance to the first-line drug co-trimoxazole. Prevention of acquisition and infection depends upon the application of modern infection-control practices, with emphasis on the control of antibiotic usage and environmental reservoirs [19]. An increasing incidence of S. maltophilia isolates has been reported in some CF centers during the last decade [20]. Although an association between S. maltophilia colonization and lung damage has been observed, the role of this organism is still undetermined in lung damage [7]. In non-CF patients, exposure to wide-spectrum antimicrobial drugs, long-term antimicrobial therapy, previous pulmonary infections, and chronic respiratory disease contribute to S. maltophilia acquisition and increase the risk for respiratory infection with this organism. S. maltophilia is a common colonizer of the respiratory tract of patients with chronic lung disease, and, in the absence of pneumonia or bacteremia, is often ignored by physicians as there was no measurable impact of antibiotic therapy, in the absence of consolidation, a respiratory tract isolate of S. maltophilia probably represents colonization of a severely impaired host rather than an invasive disease [17]. A distinction between S. maltophilia colonization and infection is made even more difficult by the frequent isolation of other organisms from the same specimen. Therefore, although there is good evidence that S. maltophilia causes significant mortality in patients with nosocomial pneumonia [21] in other clinical settings, the significance of respiratory isolate is much less clear.

Several case-control studies have drawn conflicting conclusions regarding the role of *S. maltophilia* in the pathogenesis of the infection process. In human medicine, *S. maltophilia* is recognized as a nosocomial pathogen, isolated primarily from immunocompromised patients [6]. Although occasionally considered a contaminant, or possibly a commensal, in clinical material, it is generally accepted that this bacterium can behave as a true pathogen [22].

The colonization of bacteria is the first step to cause infection. There is much similarity between S. maltophilia and related bacteria like P. aeruginosa and B. cepacia, the latter are known to possess more virulence properties as compared to S. maltophilia. This is possibly the reason that S. maltophilia infections are reported only in patients suffering from immunosuppression-associated diseases like CF [20]. The studies in our laboratory on different clinical isolates of S. maltophilia showed the ability of these bacteria to adhere to viable epithelial cells obtained from LACA mice. Chhibber et al. (2008) found that S. maltophilia can produce some virulence factors like protease and lipase but the clinical isolates of S. maltophilia have been shown to be noninvasive [23]. Despite the lack of invasiveness, S. maltophilia has immune-stimulatory properties as induction of TNF-a expression specifically indicates that it is likely to contribute significantly to airway inflammation [24]. However, the clinical conditions in which this organism has an important role to play include bacteremia, and endocarditis [25], respiratory tract [17,20] and ophthalmic infections [7]. Infections of the urinary tract, skin, soft tissue, bone, joint, gastrointestinal tract, and central nervous system are also common [7].

#### 7.1. Respiratory tract infection

S. maltophilia has been reported to account for 5 % of nosocomial pneumonia. Nosocomial pneumonia has been observed during outbreaks of S. maltophilia infection, with several cases occurring over relatively short periods [7]. The respiratory tract is the most common site of isolation of S. maltophilia in hospitalized patients, accounting for the origin of 56 to 69 % of isolates, although the majority of patients (53 to 71%) with S. maltophilia-positive respiratory tract cultures are colonized rather than infected at this site [7]. More rigorous diagnostic criteria are therefore necessary, if a distinction between colonization and true infection is to be made [26]. S. maltophilia nosocomial pneumonia is associated with mechanical ventilation, tracheostomy, previous exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics, use of respiratory tract equipment such as nebulizers [27], and therapy with aerosolized polymyxin. Respiratory tract involvement with S. maltophilia is associated with significantly increased mortality. It was reported that isolation of a "high-risk" pathogen such as S. maltophilia was the most important predictor of mortality in late-onset ventilatorassociated pneumonia. The mortality rate in neutropenic patients with pneumonia is 40% [7]. In one in vitro study of S. maltophilia and influenza, it was concluded that co-infection with S. maltophilia could enhance the pathogenicity of the equine influenza virus [28].

#### 7.2. Bacterial pneumonia

Bacteria typically enter the lung when airborne droplets are inhaled, but can also reach the lung through the bloodstream when there is an infection in another part of the body. Many bacteria live in parts of the upper respiratory tract, such as the nose, mouth, and sinuses, and can easily be inhaled into the alveoli. Once inside, bacteria may invade the spaces between cells and between alveoli through connecting pores. This invasion triggers the immune system to send neutrophils to the lungs. The neutrophils engulf and kill the offending organisms, and also release cytokines, causing a general activation of the immune system [29]. This leads to fever, chills, and fatigue, the symptoms common in bacterial and fungal pneumonia. The neutrophils, bacteria, and fluid from surrounding blood vessels fill the alveoli and interrupt normal oxygen transportation. The types of Gram-negative bacteria that cause pneumonia can be found in the nose or mouth of many healthy people. *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, often called "pneumococcus", is the most common bacterial cause of pneumonia in all age groups except newborn infants. Pneumococcus kills approximately one million children annually, mostly in developing countries [30]. Another important gram-positive cause of pneumonia is *Staphylococcus aureus*. Some of the Gram-negative bacteria that cause pneumonia include *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Moraxella catarrhalis*. *S. maltophilia* is responsible for pneumonia infection in debilitated persons [31]. "Atypical" bacteria that cause pneumonia include *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, and *Legionella pneumophila* [32].

Several studies focused on the cases of pneumonia caused by a mucoid *S. maltophilia* (*X. maltophilia*) strain in a patient with bronchiectasis. The patient was admitted because of mild hemoptysis and productive cough with infiltrative shadow in the right lower lung field on chest X-ray. The clinical symptoms were mild and treatment with minocycline was effective. Gasparetto *et al.* (2007) found the culture of the material obtained from bronchoalveolar lavage, collected from patients who underwent bone marrow transplantation, positive for *S. maltophilia*. The patients rapidly presented respiratory insufficiency and death on the same day [33].

Patients undergoing hemodialysis are immunocompromised and can suffer from pneumonia with various pathogens in hospital settings. They suffer from nosocomial pneumonia caused by multi-drug resistant pathogens, including *S. maltophilia* [6]. *S. maltophilia*, related pneumonia should be treated very carefully because of its high fatality rate. *S. maltophilia* pneumonia is also commonly associated with concomitant polymicrobial colonization or infection. Underlying co morbidities and inadequate initial empirical antibiotic therapy substantially account for increased mortality rates [34]. Increasing incidence of *S. maltophilia* isolates from patients suffering from pneumonia during the previous years is due to the ability of *S. maltophilia* to adhere to biotic and abiotic surfaces [35]. Previous studies showed the ability of *S. maltophilia* to cause pneumonia in experimental animals [36].

#### 7.3. S. maltophilia and Cystic fibrosis

S. maltophilia is a gram-negative bacterium that can be associated with respiratory infections in individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF). Cystic fibrosis is a genetic disorder that affects the lungs and other organs, leading to the production of thick and sticky mucus in the airways. This mucus can become a breeding ground for various bacteria, including S. maltophilia, making individuals with CF particularly susceptible to respiratory infections [6]. S. maltophilia is one of the opportunistic pathogens that can infect individuals with CF. CF patients are at risk of various bacterial and fungal infections due to the altered mucus environment in their airways. When S. maltophilia infects the respiratory tract of CF patients, it can cause symptoms such as increased coughing, difficulty breathing, and increased mucus production. These symptoms can exacerbate the already challenging respiratory issues in CF [37]. S. maltophilia infections in CF patients can sometimes become chronic, meaning that the bacteria persist in the airways for an extended period. Chronic infections can be challenging to treat and may require long-term antibiotic therapy. The treatment of S. maltophilia infections in CF patients typically involves the use of antibiotics. However, this bacterium is known for its resistance

#### Chhibber S, Zgair AK. (2021).

to many commonly used antibiotics, including beta-lactams and aminoglycosides. Therefore, selecting the right antibiotic and monitoring the patient's response is crucial. Preventing *S. maltophilia* infections in CF patients involves maintaining good respiratory hygiene, adhering to prescribed therapies, and minimizing exposure to potential sources of infection. *S. maltophilia* has a natural resistance to many antibiotics and can acquire additional resistance through mutations and gene transfer mechanisms. This multidrug resistance can complicate treatment. Chronic infections, including those caused by *S. maltophilia*, can contribute to the progressive decline of lung function in individuals with CF. Managing and treating infections is a critical aspect of CF care to slow down this decline [38].

#### 8. MALIGNANT TUMOR

This bacteria can cause infections in humans, particularly in individuals with compromised immune systems or underlying health conditions. While it is not typically associated with cancer directly. Cancer and cancer treatments, such as chemotherapy and radiation therapy, can weaken the immune system [39]. This weakened immune response can make cancer patients more susceptible to various infections, including those caused by S. maltophilia. It is considered an opportunistic pathogen, meaning it often infects individuals with weakened immune systems [40]. Cancer patients may be at an increased risk of acquiring infections from this bacterium due to their compromised immune function. S. maltophilia can cause respiratory tract infections, including pneumonia, in immunocompromised individuals. Cancer patients who are receiving treatments that affect the lungs or who have underlying lung conditions may be particularly vulnerable [6]. This bacteria is well known for its resistance to many antibiotics, which can complicate treatment in cancer patients already dealing with complex medical regimens. Careful consideration of antibiotic choice and possible multidrug resistance is essential when managing infections caused by this bacterium in cancer patients. Infections in cancer patients can have more severe consequences than in individuals with healthy immune systems. These infections can lead to delays in cancer treatments, hospitalizations, and increased morbidity and mortality rates. Preventing infections in cancer patients is crucial, and this often involves strict infection control measures, including careful hygiene, prophylactic antibiotics in some cases, and monitoring for signs of infection [41]. Early detection and prompt treatment are also essential. It's important to emphasize that S. maltophilia infections are relatively rare and primarily affect immunocompromised individuals [6]. Cancer patients should receive comprehensive care and guidance from their healthcare providers to minimize infection risks and manage any infections that may occur during their cancer treatment journey. The choice of antibiotics and treatment strategies should be tailored to the specific circumstances of the individual patient, taking into account their cancer type, treatment regimen, and overall health status.

#### 9. HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED INFECTIONS

Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), also known as nosocomial infections, pose a significant challenge to healthcare facilities worldwide. Among the many pathogens implicated in HAIs, *S. maltophilia* has emerged as an important and increasingly recognized causative agent. Hospital-acquired infections refer to infections that patients acquire during their stay in a healthcare facility, which were not present or in the incubation stage at the time of admission [41]. These infections can involve

various microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites. *S. maltophilia* is an emerging pathogen that has gained attention in recent years due to its association with HAIs. Understanding the role of this bacterium in nosocomial infections is crucial for effective prevention and management [42].

S. maltophilia is an environmental bacterium that can be found in water, soil, and on surfaces in healthcare settings. It has a remarkable ability to adapt to different environments and can survive on various surfaces, including medical equipment and devices [2]. This environmental resilience contributes to its prevalence in healthcare facilities. It is recognized as one of the leading non-fermentative gram-negative bacteria causing HAIs. It is frequently isolated from respiratory tract specimens, blood cultures, urinary tract samples, and wound swabs in hospitalized patients [43]. The infections with this bacterial species tend to affect patients with underlying health conditions or compromised immune systems. This includes individuals in intensive care units (ICUs), those receiving mechanical ventilation, patients with malignancies, transplant recipients, and individuals with chronic respiratory diseases [44]. S. maltophilia infections have been reported in healthcare settings, particularly in units with critically ill patients. Contaminated water sources, such as sink faucets and respiratory therapy equipment, have been identified as sources of outbreaks. Several factors increase the risk of acquiring S. maltophilia infections in the hospital setting such as, patients with weakened immune systems, such as those undergoing chemotherapy, organ transplantation, or long-term corticosteroid therapy, are at heightened risk [40]. ii, extended hospital stays, particularly in critical care units, increase the likelihood of exposure to the bacterium [44]. iii, The use of invasive medical devices, including central venous catheters, endotracheal tubes, and urinary catheters, provides potential entry points for infection [45]. iv, prior use of broad-spectrum antibiotics can disrupt the normal flora of the body, allowing opportunistic pathogens like S. maltophilia to thrive. v, Patients on mechanical ventilators, especially when used for an extended period, are at a higher risk of respiratory tract infections caused by this bacterium [46].

## **10.CONCLUSION**

*S. maltophilia* is an opportunistic pathogens and sometime true pathogens according to the strains of bacteria. It responsible for several infectious diseases. It is also responsible for the high percentages of HAI. One of the attributes of this bacteria that makes it responsible for the wide board of infectious diseases is its resistance to a wide spectrum of antibiotics that is why the high mortality rate associated with infection with *S. maltophilia*. It was reported that following a special protocol of using more than of antibiotic in treating the infection with this bacteria is highly recommended by specialist physicians.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to extend our sincere thanks to the head of the Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Baghdad, and to the Head of the Department of Microbiology, Panjab University, India, for their generous support during the preparation of this article.

#### **Funding information**

This work received no specific grant from any funding agency.

#### **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

#### **Ethical Approval**

This review was approved by the ethical Committee of the University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq (No 1014, 2020).

#### 11. REFERENCES

- Adegoke AA, Stenström TA, Okoh AI. (2017) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as an Emerging Ubiquitous Pathogen: Looking Beyond Contemporary Antibiotic Therapy. Front Microbiol 8:2276. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02276. PMID: 29250041; PMCID: PMC5714879.
- [2] Denet E, Coupat-Goutaland B, Nazaret S, Pélandakis M, Favre-Bonté S. (2017) Diversity of free-living amoebae in soils and their associated human opportunistic bacteria. *Parasitol Res* **116**:3151-3162. doi: 10.1007/s00436-017-5632-6. Epub 2017 Oct 7. PMID: 28988383.
- [3] Yeshurun M, Gafter-Gvili A, Thaler M, Keller N, Nagler A, Shimoni A. (2010) Clinical characteristics of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients: a single center experience. *Infection* **38**:211-5. doi: 10.1007/s15010-010-0023-2. Epub 2010 Apr 28. PMID: 20425134; PMCID: PMC7102005.
- [4] Klimkaitė L, Armalytė J, Skerniškytė J, Sužiedėlienė E. (2020) The Toxin-Antitoxin Systems of the Opportunistic Pathogen Stenotrophomonas maltophilia of Environmental and Clinical Origin. Toxins (Basel) 12:635. doi: 10.3390/toxins12100635. PMID: 33019620; PMCID: PMC7650669.
- [5] Chung HS, Hong SG, Kim YR, Shin KS, Whang DH. et al. (2013) Antimicrobial susceptibility of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates from Korea, and the activity of antimicrobial combinations against the isolates. J Korean Med Sci 28:62-6. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2013.28.1.62. Epub 2013 Jan 8. PMID: 23341713; PMCID: PMC3546106.
- [6] An SQ, Berg G. (2018) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Trends Microbiol. 26:637-638. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2018.04.006. Epub 2018 May 10. PMID: 29754971.
- [7] Denton M, Kerr KG. (1998) Microbiological and clinical aspects of infection associated with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Clin Microbiol Rev 11:57-80. doi: 10.1128/CMR.11.1.57. PMID: 9457429; PMCID: PMC121376.
- [8] Gilligan PH, Lum G, VanDamme PAR, Whittier S. (2003) Burkholderia, Stenotrophomonas, Ralstonia, Brevundimonas, Comamonas, Delftia, Pandoraea, and Acidivorax, in: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, et al. (Eds), Manual of Clinical Microbiology, 8th ed, ASM Press, Washington, DC, 2003 pp. 729–748.
- [9] Berg G, Roskot N, Smalla K. (1999) Genotypic and phenotypic relationships between clinical and environmental isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. J Clin Microbiol **37**:3594-600. doi: 10.1128/JCM.37.11.3594-3600.1999. PMID: 10523559; PMCID: PMC85701.
- [10] Liaw SJ, Lee YL, Hsueh PR. (2010) Multidrug resistance in clinical isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: roles of integrons, efflux pumps, phosphoglucomutase (SpgM), and melanin and biofilm formation. Int J Antimicrob Agents 35:126–130. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.09.015. Epub 2009 Nov 18. PMID: 19926255
- [11] Crossman LC, Gould VC, Dow JM, Vernikos GS, Okazaki A, et al. (2008) The complete genome, comparative and functional analysis of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia reveals an organism heavily shielded by drug resistance determinants. Genome Biol 9:R74. doi: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-4-r74. PMID: 18419807; PMCID: PMC2643945.
- [12] Vázquez SC, Mac Cormack WP, Ríos Merino LN, Fraile ER. (2000), Factors influencing protease production by two Antarctic strains of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Rev Argent Microbiol 32:53-62. PMID: 10885004.
- [13] Caylan R, Aydin K, Koksal I. (2002) Meningitis caused by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: case report and review of the literature. Ann Saudi Med 22:216-8. doi: 10.5144/0256-4947.2002.216. PMID: 17159399.
- [14] Piacenza E, Presentato A, Ambrosi E, Speghini A, Turner RJ, et al. (2018) Physical-Chemical Properties of Biogenic Selenium Nanostructures Produced by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia SeITE02 and Ochrobactrum sp. MPV1. Front Microbiol 9:3178. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03178. PMID: 30619230; PMCID: PMC6306038.
- [15] Gajdács M, Urbán E. (2020) A 10-year single-center experience on Stenotrophomonas maltophilia resistotyping in Szeged, Hungary. Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp) 10:91-97. doi:

10.1556/1886.2020.00006. PMID: 32590357; PMCID: PMC7391376.

- [16] Tokatly Latzer I, Paret G, Rubinstein M, Keller N, Barkai G, Pessach IM. (2018) Management of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Infections in Critically III Children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 37:981-986. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000001959. PMID: 29634621.
- [17] Pathmanathan A, Waterer GW. (2005) Significance of positive Stenotrophomonas maltophilia culture in acute respiratory tract infection. Eur Respir J 25:911-4. doi: 10.1183/09031936.05.00096704. PMID: 15863651.
- [18] Kaya E, Tollapi L, Pastore A, Aringhieri G, Maisetta G, et al. (2020) Comparison of methods for the microbiological diagnosis of totally implantable venous access port-related infections. *J Med Microbiol* 69:1273-1284. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.001263. Epub 2020 Oct 16. PMID: 33064069.
- [19] Looney WJ, Narita M, Mühlemann K. (2009) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging opportunist human pathogen. *Lancet Infect Dis* 9:312-23. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70083-0. PMID: 19393961.
- [20] Rocchetti TT, Silbert S, Gostnell A, Kubasek C, Jerris R, Vong J, Widen R. (2018) Rapid detection of four non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria directly from cystic fibrosis patient's respiratory samples on the BD MAX<sup>™</sup> system. *Pract Lab Med* **12**:e00102. doi: 10.1016/j.plabm.2018.e00102. PMID: 30009245; PMCID: PMC6041425.
- [21] Wang L, Zhou W, Cao Y, Yang C, Liu, et al. (2020), Characteristics of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection in children in Sichuan, China, from 2010 to 2017. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 99:e19250. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000019250. PMID: 32080131; PMCID: PMC7034668.
- [22] Fraser TA, Bell MG, Harris PNA, Bell SC, Bergh H, et al. (2019) Quantitative real-time PCR assay for the rapid identification of the intrinsically multidrug-resistant bacterial pathogen Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Microb. Genom 5:e000307. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000307. Epub 2019 Oct 16. PMID: 31617838; PMCID: PMC6861864.
- [23] Chhibber S, Gupta A, Sharan R, Gautam V, Ray P. (2008) Putative virulence characterization of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: A study on clinical isolates. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:2819-2825.
- [24] McDaniel MS, Schoeb T, Swords WE. (2020) Cooperativity between Stenotrophomonas maltophilia and Pseudomonas aeruginosa during Polymicrobial Airway Infections. Infect Immun 88:e00855-19. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00855-19. PMID: 31932329; PMCID: PMC7093137.
- [25] Muder RR. (2007) Optimizing therapy for Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 28:672-7. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-996414. PMID: 18095231.
- [26] Fujita J, Yamadori I, Xu G, Hojo S, Negayama K, et al. (1996) Clinical features of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia pneumonia in immunocompromised patients. Respir Med 90:35-8. doi: 10.1016/s0954-6111(96)90242-5. PMID: 8857324.
- [27] Soubirou JF, Gault N, Alfaiate T, Lolom I, Tubach F, et al. (2014) Ventilator-associated pneumonia due to carbapenem-resistant Gramnegative bacilli in an intensive care unit without carbapenemaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae or epidemic Acinetobacter baumannii. Scand J Infect Dis 46:215-20. doi: 10.3109/00365548.2013.871644. Epub 2014 Jan 21. PMID: 24447250.
- [28] Hou D, Bi Y, Sun H, Yang J, Fu G, et al. (2012) Identification of swine influenza A virus and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia co-infection in Chinese pigs. Virol J 9:169. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-9-169. PMID: 22913775; PMCID: PMC3492169.
- [29] Li WL, Xu ZW, Li SN, Shen HE, Wang Y, et al. (2020) Progress of researches on the role of neutrophil extracellular traps in the immune responses against parasites. *Zhongguo Xue Xi Chong Bing Fang Zhi Za Zhi* 33:434-438. Chinese. doi: 10.16250/j.32.1374.2020187. PMID: 34505456.
- [30] Penkert RR, Iverson A, Rosch JW, Hurwitz JL. (2017) Prevnar-13 vaccine failure in a mouse model for vitamin A deficiency. Vaccine 35:6264-6268. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.09.069. Epub 2017 Oct 9. PMID: 29032897; PMCID: PMC5654488.
- [31] Hirama T, Minezaki S, Yamaguchi T, Kishi E, Kodama K, et al. (2014) HIRA-TAN: a real-time PCR-based system for the rapid identification of causative agents in pneumonia. *Respir Med* **108**:395-404. doi:

10.1016/j.rmed.2013.11.018. Epub 2013 Dec 10. PMID: 24411834.

- [32] Liu YN, Chen MJ, Zhao TM, Wang H, Wang R, et al. (2006) A multicentre study on the pathogenic agents in 665 adult patients with community-acquired pneumonia in cities of China. *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi* 29:3-8. Chinese. PMID: 16638292.
- [33] Gasparetto EL, Bertholdo DB, Davaus T, Marchiori E, Escuissato DL. (2007) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia pneumonia after bone marrow transplantation: case report with emphasis on the high-resolution CT findings. Brit J Radiol 80:e19-20. doi: 10.1259/bjr/20155253. PMID: 17267464
- [34] Tseng CC, Fang WF, Huang KT, Chang PW, Tu ML, et al. (2009) Risk Factors for Mortality in Patients with Nosocomial Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Pneumonia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 30:1193-202. doi: 10.1086/648455. PMID: 19852664.
- [35] Ramos-Hegazy L, Chakravarty S, Anderson GG. (2020) Phosphoglycerate mutase affects Stenotrophomonas maltophilia attachment to biotic and abiotic surfaces. *Microbes Infect* 22:60-64. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2019.08.001. Epub 2019 Aug 17. PMID: 31430538; PMCID: PMC7002286.
- [36] Zgair AK, Chhibber S. (2012) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia flagellin restricts bacterial colonization in BALB/c mouse lung in vivo. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 66:191-200. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2012.00999.x. Epub 2012 Jul 16. PMID: 22715963.
- [37] Gozel M, Celik C, Elaldi N. (2015) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Infections in Adults: Primary Bacteremia and Pneumonia. Jundishapur J Microbiol 8:e23569. https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.23569.
- [38] Lobo LJ, Tulu Z, Aris RM, Noone PG. (2015) Pan-Resistant Achromobacter xylosoxidans and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Infection in Cystic Fibrosis Does Not Reduce Survival After Lung Transplantation. Transplantation 99:2196-202. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000000709. PMID: 25856407.
- [39] Liu X, Ma J, Liu Q, Zhou ZX. (2016) Clinicopathological factors affecting the lymph node yield from laparoscopically resected specimens of rectal cancer. *Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi* 38:915-919. Chinese. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3766.2016.12.007. PMID: 27998468.

#### Author affiliation

- 1. Department of Microbiology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India.
- 2. Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

ORCID ID, Sanjay Chhibber: 0000-0003-2600-1450

- [40] Jarząb N, Walczak M. (2-17) The presence of biofilm forming microorganisms on hydrotherapy equipment and facilities. J Water Health 15: 923-931. doi: 10.2166/wh.2017.025. PMID: 29215356.
- [41] Cornejo-Juárez P, Vilar-Compte D, Pérez-Jiménez C, Ñamendys-Silva SA, Sandoval-Hernández S, Volkow-Fernández P. (2015) The impact of hospital-acquired infections with multidrug-resistant bacteria in an oncology intensive care unit. *Int J Infect Dis* **31**:31-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2014.12.022. Epub 2014 Dec 17. PMID: 25528484.
- [42] Li SG, Liao K, Su DH, Zhuo C, Chu YZ, et al. (2020) Analysis of pathogen spectrum and antimicrobial resistance of pathogens associated with hospital-acquired infections collected from 11 teaching hospitals in 2018. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 100:3775-3783. Chinese. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112137-20200430-01389. PMID: 33379842.
- [43] Han QZ, Chen Y, Yang H, Zhang XF, Chen J, et al. (2017) Incidence of blood stream infections of 1265 patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and analysis of pathogenic bacteria. *Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi* 38:930-933. Chinese. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-2727.2017.11.005. PMID: 29224313; PMCID: PMC7342786.
- [44] Hu Z, Zhou S. (2018) Risk factors and etiological analysis of ventilatorassociated pneumonia: three year's cases analysis of intensive care unit in county hospital. *Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue* 30:933-938. Chinese. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.2095-4352.2018.010.005. PMID: 30439311.
- [45] Thallinger B, Argirova M, Lesseva M, Ludwig R, Sygmund C, et al. (2014) Preventing microbial colonisation of catheters: antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities of cellobiose dehydrogenase. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 44:402-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.06.016. Epub 2014 Aug 4. PMID: 25176584.
- [46] Xu NL, Shi SJ, Lai ZS, Li HR, Lian SQ, Chen YS. (2011) A case-control study on the risk factors for lower respiratory tract infection by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in a medical intensive care unit. Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi 34:735-8. Chinese. PMID: 22321705.